## Message

From: Rowan, Anne [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=02CBCC49DDB94091B9F4E358EB001352-AROWAN]

**Sent**: 3/20/2018 7:46:50 PM

To: Bassler, Rachel [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6dfb9f45b1e3443e95fe97296e7a8467-Bassler, Rachel]; Korleski, Christopher

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=83ea7d51d2f1427e9182bce17a0ded0d-Korleski, Christopher]

CC: Kelley, Jeff [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e154fa5a5f744c70aa3da58e3f87aabe-JKelley]

Subject: Wisconsin State Journal - deadline today re: Aquila Back 40

Importance: High

## **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

Hi Anne,

I'm writing on deadline about the EPA's wetland objection letter regarding the Aquila Back Forty project in Michigan.

I'm wondering in Christopher Korleski or anyone else can say something to indicate how serious the objection is – in terms of whether it seems likely that the EPA's concerns could be alleviated in 90 days.

Another way to get at it might be if there is a way of saying how often EPA objections are submitted and how often they are alleviated within the 90 days.

If the company doesn't meet the deadline, can it submit another application?

Thanks,

Thanks,

Steve Verburg Reporter, Wisconsin State Journal 608.252.6118 @St\_Verburg